Higher order schemes in time for the surface quasi-geostrophic system under location uncertainty

> <u>Camilla Fiorini</u>¹, in collaboration with Long Li², Étienne Mémin²

> > 2nd STUOD Workshop September 20th, 2021



¹Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers



² Inria Rennes Bretagne Atlantique

SQG system under location uncertainty

LU framework: based on the following decomposition of the Lagrangian velocity in two components

 $d\mathbf{X}_t = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{X}_t, t)dt + \sigma(\mathbf{X}_t, t)d\mathbf{B}_t$

one can compute the stochastic transport operator:

 $\mathbb{D}_t b := \mathrm{d}_t b + \mathbf{v}^* \cdot \nabla b \, \mathrm{d}t + \sigma \mathrm{d}\mathbf{B}_t \cdot \nabla b - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (a \nabla b) \mathrm{d}t,$

where

$$\mathbf{v}^* = \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot a - \sigma(\nabla \cdot \sigma)$$

Therefore, the surface quasi geostrophic system under location uncertainty is:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{D}_t b = 0, \\ b = N(-\Delta)^{1/2} \psi, \\ \mathbf{u} = \nabla^{\perp} \psi, \end{cases}$$

Camilla Fiorini

Towards the Milstein scheme

The main equation is:

We model the noise m, by decomposing it onto a basis using a POD approach

We then define the following functions:

$$f(b_t, t) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (a \nabla b) - \mathbf{v}^* \cdot \nabla b \qquad g^m(b_t, t) = \nabla b \cdot \varphi_m$$

We can apply Itō formula for f and g^m , obtaining:

$$f(b_t, t) = f(b_{t_0}, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(b_s, s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial b}(b_s, s) db_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial b^2}(b_s, s) d\langle b, b \rangle_s$$

$$g^m(b_t, t) = g^m(b_{t_0}, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial g^m}{\partial s}(b_s, s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial g^m}{\partial b}(b_s, s) db_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial^2 g^m}{\partial b^2}(b_s, s) d\langle b, b \rangle_s$$

$$= 0$$

Camilla Fiorini

Milstein scheme

By replacing everything in the Itō formulas and then into the main equation, one finds:

$$b_{t} = b_{t_{0}} + f(b_{t_{0}})\Delta t - \sum_{m} g^{m}(b_{t_{0}})\Delta\beta^{m} + \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} \sum_{m,k} g^{m}(g^{k}(b_{\tau})) \mathrm{d}\beta^{k}_{\tau} \mathrm{d}\beta^{m}_{s}$$
(1)

Euler-Maruyama

We define the following quantities:

$$\begin{split} G^{m,k} &:= g^m(g^k(b_{t_0})) \qquad I^{m,k} := \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s \mathrm{d}\beta_{\tau}^k \mathrm{d}\beta_s^m \\ \text{Then the double integral in (1) can be approximated with:} \\ &= \Delta\beta^m \Delta\beta^k - \delta_{m,k} \Delta t \\ &\sum_{m,k} G^{m,k} I^{m,k} = \sum_{m,k} G^{m,k} \frac{I^{m,k} + I^{k,m}}{2} + G^{m,k} \frac{I^{m,k} - I^{k,m}}{2} \end{split}$$
 Lévy area, which can be simulated

Remark: if G is symmetric (i.e. $G^{m,k} = G^{k,m}$), then the Lévy area is not necessary:

$$\sum_{m,k} G^{m,k} I^{m,k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,k} G^{m,k} I^{m,k} + G^{k,m} I^{k,m} = \sum_{m,k} G^{m,k} \frac{I^{m,k} + I^{k,m}}{2}$$

Camilla Fiorini

Higher order schemes in time for SQG under LU

4 / 10

Multi-step scheme

The final aim being to use Milstein scheme in a multi-step Runge-Kutta type method, we started studying Runge-Kutta methods in the stochastic framework, starting with SSPRK3 [1] and Heun [2].

First, we rewrite the system in Stratonovich form:

$$\begin{cases} \mathsf{d}_t b = f_s(b, u) + g_s(b) \circ \mathsf{d}B_t \\ u = -\kappa \nabla^{\perp} \Delta^{-1/2} b =: \mathscr{H}(b) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{SSPRK3 [1]} & \text{Heun [2]} \\ & b^{(1)} = b^n + f_s(b^n, u^n)\Delta t + g_s(b^n)\Delta B^n \\ & u^{(1)} = \mathscr{H}(b^{(1)}) \\ & b^{(2)} = \frac{3}{4}b^n + \frac{1}{4}\left(b^{(1)} + f_s(b^{(1)}, u^{(1)})\Delta t + g_s(b^{(1)})\Delta B^n\right) \\ & u^{(2)} = \mathscr{H}(b^{(2)}) \\ & b^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}b^n + \frac{2}{3}\left(b^{(2)} + f_s(b^{(2)}, u^{(2)})\Delta t + g_s(b^{(2)})\Delta B^n\right) \end{aligned}$$

[1] Numerically modeling stochastic Lie transport in fluid dynamics, Multiscale Modeling & Simulation 17.1 (2019):
 192-232. C. Cotter, D. Crisan, D. Holm, W. Pan and I. Shevchenko.

[2] Modelling uncertainty using stochastic transport noise in a 2-layer quasi-geostrophic model. Foundations of Data Science, 2.2 (2020). C. Cotter, D. Crisan, D. Holm, W. Pan and I. Shevchenko.

Camilla Fiorini

Convergence

but we don't know the exact solution $\mathbb{E}\left[\|b(T,\mathbf{x}) - b_h(n\Delta t,\mathbf{x})\|\right] \leq C\Delta t^{\gamma}$

We want to estimate the rate of convergence

With three ("small enough") values of Δt one can provide the following estimate of γ

$$\gamma = \log_2\left(\frac{e_1}{e_2}\right) \quad e_1 := \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\tilde{S}(T,\Delta t) - \tilde{S}\left(T,\frac{\Delta t}{2}\right)\right|\right] \quad e_2 := \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\tilde{S}\left(T,\frac{\Delta t}{2}\right) - \tilde{S}\left(T,\frac{\Delta t}{4}\right)\right|\right]$$

- ▶ We used a fixed spatial mesh of 128x128
- We chose $\Delta t = 60, 120, 240s$
- ▶ 100 samples
- I day of simulation

Numerical results - noise x1

Euler Maruyama



Numerical results - noise x10

Euler Maruyama



Numerical results

 $\mathbb{E}\left[\|b(T,\mathbf{x}) - b_h(n\Delta t,\mathbf{x})\|\right] \le C\Delta t^{\gamma}$



Camilla Fiorini

Conclusion and perspectives

Conclusion

- Milstein schemes improve the numerical results, in particular when used in a multistep framework;
- The Lévy area does not seem to play a key role in these test cases, which allows us to drastically reduce the computational costs;
- Under weak noise, all the schemes tested provide very similar results.

Perspectives

- Understand if the (non) importance of the Lévy area is related to the test case, the equations, or other factors;
- Apply this numerical scheme to other equations, starting with barotropic QG.